04-02-07

AF T

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no	persons a	are required to respond to a collection	on of inform	nation unles	s it di	splays a valid OMB control number.
DIFE 2		Application Number	10/081,	419		
RANSMITTAL		Filing Date	Feb 22, 2002			
MAR 3 0 2007) FORM		First Named Inventor	Williams, Dwight P.			
E /		Art Unit	3752			
Apparation of the second secon		Examiner Name	Ganey			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	50051			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		Af	ter A	llowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers				Communication to Board of s and Interferences
Amendment / Reply		Petition	X			Il Communication to TC Il Notice Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Р	ropri	etary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			tatus	Letter
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		☐ id	ther entif	Enclosure(s) (please y below):
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund				
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)				
Certified Copy of Priority		Landscape Table on CD				
Document(s) Rema						
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application the Com		It is believed that no further request for extension of time or fees are due. Notwithstanding, unissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred or credit any overage to				
Reply to Missing Parts Deposit		Account No.50-1753 (50051). Please regard this as a further request for extension of time to nt one is needed. (Customer Account Number 22929)				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name			·			
Signature	11	(
Printed name Sue Z. Shaper	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***	
Date March 23, 2007	Reg. No. 31663					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage via Express mail EV975504602 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Signature Super						
Typed or printed name Sue Z. Shaper		7		D	ate	March 29, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

In you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

MAR 3 0 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Williams

Application No.: 10/081,419

Art Unit:

Filed: 2/22/2002

3752

Examiner:

Title: Around-the-Pump Additive System for Industrial

Scale Hazards

Ganey

Attorney Docket No.: 50051

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER of 1/30/07

In Section "(9) Grounds of Rejection" the paragraph found on page 7 lines 3 - 10, on page 8 lines 15 - 21 and on page 9 lines 15 - 21 is new. All of section "(10) Response to Argument," pages 10 through 14, is new.

Re: Status of Claims

The Examiner is correct in the re-statement of the status of the claims. While claims 1-17 are pending, claims 3, 4, 10-12 and 14 were withdrawn; only claims 1, 2, 5-9, 13 and 15-17 are rejected and subject to the present appeal. Applicant traverses the Examiner's statement on page 2, lines 17-18, that claims 1 and 17 are independent "apparatus" claims. Claims 1 and 17 are independent method claims as evidenced by their language. The Response to Argument section, ANS page 10 line 1 to page 11 line 2, states that the preamble of claims 1 and 17 "dictates" that the claims are apparatus claims, and further that the preamble uses "a positively recited apparatus type preamble." Responding, attached find a further dictionary definition of "system," that given by "Ask Jeeves," of which judicial notice may be taken. The eighth meaning of "system" therein is "an organized and coordinated method." The directory instructs one to seek synonyms "at method." (Notwithstanding, applicant is willing to change "system" to "method" in the preamble of claims 1 and 17. Applicant presented that proposed amendment in response to the <u>first</u> objection of this matter by the Examiner. The Examiner, however, has not entered the amendment.) In response to the Examiner's new comments about "gerunds," pages 10-11 of the Response to Argument Section, applicant attaches page 73 from Landis on Claim Drafting, second edition. Judicial notice could be taken of Landis's point. Landis points out that method steps "should usually be verbal gerundial phrases, introduced by a gerund or a verbal noun (the '-ing' form of a verb.)"

Re: Response to Argument Section, Answer, page 12 line 9 – page 13, line 4 - Examiner's Comments re Hollan

Applicant's Point 1

As is standard for "fire fighting" pumps, in accordance with the teaching of the Specification and the standards of the NFPA 1901 Standard for Automated Fire Apparatus, submitted and of record, one of skill in the art would expect the "fire fighting" pumps of Hollan and Williams to be provided with a special pony 2.5" inlet. See Spec page 1 lines 30-37; page 2 lines 6-8. The Examiner offers no basis for the assertion that the "fire fighting" pumps of Hollan and Williams would not be expected to be provided with the special pony inlet, as specified in NFPA 1901. (The same applies to Worthington and Gagliardo, also applications in the "fire fighting" industry.)

Applicant's Point 2

Only the benefit of hindsight, informed by the applicant's invention disclosure, teaches that a hypothetical Hollan "fitting," posted to exist at the location where Hollan's line 29 is drawn to connect with line 31 in Hollan's sole Figure, "could be" further located upstream of Hollan's water manifold inlet, and Hollan could employ a standard pump, without affecting the operation of Hollan's apparatus. It is the instant invention disclosure that provides the Examiner with the conviction that such would work. No motivation or precedent for making such alteration or interpretation of Hollan is provided. No evidence is offered that such should be expected to function acceptably.

Re: Response to Argument Section, Answer Page 13 line 5 – page 14 line 10 - Examiner's Comments re Gagliardo.

Applicant's Point 1

The only situation or context cited by Gagliardo in which his problem arises is the case of a crash truck used at an airport. (See Gagliardo column 1, lines 49-51.) The instant specification points out that "fire fighting" apparatus uses "fire fighting" pumps. Spec page 1 line 30 – page 2 line 8. As per the Specification and section 16.6.3.1 of NFPA 1901 Standards for Automated Fire Apparatus, fire fighting pumps are to have a pony (2.5") inlet. (See applicant's Figure 1 re prior art "fire fighting" pumps.) See Spec page 1 line 30 to page 2 line 8. There is no basis, thus, for "assuming" that the pump in Gagliardo thus is a "standard pump" as opposed to a "fire fighting" pump. To the contrary there is basis for assuming that Gagliardo's pump is a "firefighting pump" and has a pony inlet and pony outlet for attaching Gagliardo's recirculation line 22, as per Gagliardo's specification.

Applicant's Point 2

Gagliardo states, "The pump has an inlet 12 that is connected to a source 13 of primary fluid; ...

Recirculation branch 22 joins the outlet 14 of the pump to the inlet 12" (See column 2 lines 53-54 and

63-64.) Gagliardo further states, "Figure 1 is a <u>schematic</u> view of a proportioning system incorporating the principles of the present invention [the present invention being a <u>proportioning system</u>]." (See column 2 lines 39-40.) Interpreting Gagliardo's "schematic" Figure 1, containing simplified vertical and horizontal lines, to mean that recirculation branch 22 literally intersects a water line at a hypothetical "fitting," which hypothetical fitting is also "at least initially separate from the pump," and "on a suction side of the pump upstream of the pump water manifold inlet," is unjustified. Such interpretation places undue reliance on, and unjustifiably extrapolates from, a portion of Gagliardo's "schematic" figure, unrelated, in fact, to Gagliardo's "proportioner" invention.

Applicant's Point 3

The Examiner proposes that Gagliardo's pump inherently and necessarily has a (non-indicated) water manifold inlet and inherently and necessarily has a (non-indicated) fitting joining recirculation line 22 to inlet 12. Even were one to assume so for purposes of argument, Gagliardo still does not inherently and necessarily disclose that the hypothetical fitting is upstream of the hypothetical water manifold inlet. Only the instant invention so teaches.

Respectfully Submitted,

ate

Sue Z. Shaper

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 31663

Sue Z. Shaper 1800 West Loop South, Suite 1450 Houston, Texas 77027 Tel. 713 550 5710

MyStuff | Settings



Search Dictionary

Search the Web

Dictionary

Thesaurus

sys·tem (sis'təm)")

[Late Latin systēma, systēmat-, from Greek sustēma, from sunistanai, to combine, sun-, syn-, + histanai, set up, establish.]

noun

- A group of interacting, interrelated, or interdependent elements forming a complex whole.
- 2. A functionally related group of elements, especially:
 - a. The human body regarded as a functional physiological unit.
 - An organism as a whole, especially with regard to its vital processes or functions
 - c. A group of physiologically or anatomically complementary organs or parts: the nervous system; the skeletal system.
 - d. A group of interacting mechanical or electrical components.
 - e. A network of structures and channels, as for communication, travel, or distribution.
 - f. A network of related computer software, hardware, and data transmission devices...
- 3. An organized set of interrelated ideas or principles.
- 4. A social, economic, or political organizational form.
- 5. A naturally occurring group of objects or phenomena: the solar system.
- 6. A set of objects or phenomena grouped together for classification or analysis.
- 7. A condition of harmonious, orderly interaction.
- 8. An organized and coordinated method; a procedure. See synonyms at method
- 9. The prevailing social order; the establishment. Used with the : You can't beat the system.

Synonyms

entity

integral

sum

totality

whole

complex

method

order

orderliness

organization

pattern

plan

systematization

systemization

fashion

manner

method

mode

modus operandi

style

More »



The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition

Copyright © 2005, 2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Updated 2005.

Published by Houghton Mifflin. All rights reserved.

Web · Images · News · Blogs & Feeds · Shopping · More »

Search

About · Advertise · Careers · Other Important Information

© 2007 IAC Search & Media







MECHANICS OF PATENT CLAIM DRAFTING

Second Edition

Sixth Printing

John L. Landis

With the Collaboration of John D. Kaufmann, Bryan W. Sheffield and Myron Cohen

G1-0633 Practising Law Institute New York City pply also to method nical, mechanical or iations (e.g., genus/ns therein must find erals may be used; lorder is also necesby" clauses may be

of the "elements" of parts, are, and must ormed upon an artihe transformation or nical substance to a of a method claim—
cially true when the ine or apparatus.
ingle-step method, oned, as noted in Secinvolve combinations reuits. Of course, the uctly claim what the), which is the basic

, announced a liberal tess claims of various trs to the examiner to pound in that case).

or more acts or steps nemical substance to al arts. The elements s, expressed as verb

2 673 (1972) (discussed

1971); Ex parte Britton, 32 USPQ 545 (Bd. App. 971).

o chang

Section 34—Elements of Method Claims

Generally, the verbs in a method claim need not be phrased in any particular voice or tense as long as there is no ambiguity and the requirements of Section 112 are met. Nevertheless, it must be remembered that the elements of a method claim are method steps, which should usually be verbal (gerundial) phrases, introduced by a gerund or verbal noun (the "-ing" form of a verb), such as (the gerunds are italicized):

(a) reciprocating the guide...
(b) punching a series of holes...

(c) impressing a signal . . .

(d) coating the sheet with an adhesive . . .

heating the mixture to a temperature of ...
 separating the alcohol from the aqueous solution ...

distilling the aqueous solution to separate the alcohol therefrom ...

h) fractionally crystallizing the aqueous solution to separate the alcohol therefrom ...

The last three steps ("separating," on the one hand, and "distilling" or "crystallizing," on the other) illustrate, respectively, a generic and two-species method steps (see Section 55).

come should be aware that as to the format of step (g), above, examiners sometimes express a preference for language similar to the following: "(g') separating the alcohol from the aqueous solution by distilling the solution..." The argument favoring such wording is along these lines: "Regarding the phrase 'distilling... to separate,' [as in step (g)] one could distill the solution, yet never achieve alcohol separation. Such separation being the ultimate goal of the step, it (separation) should be more positively recited by being made the introductory gerund of the clause [separating... by distilling," as in step (g')] which sets forth the step."

Ex parte Lewin, 154 USPQ 487 (Bd. App. 1966).

^{••} As in apparatus claims (Section 20), antecedents are important. Support the guide" must have been provided in a previous clause of the claim.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER: _

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.